

# MFERS Hot Topics

## Contracts for purchase or sale of non-financial items denominated in a foreign currency

MARCH 2017

Welcome to MFERS Hot Topics - a publication from SJ Grant Thornton. This publication provides guidance on recognition and measurement of the contracts for purchase or sale of non-financial items denominated in a foreign currency.



---

## Contents

- 2 Guidance
- 3 Discussion
- 5 Example

### Relevant MFRS

MFRS 139 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement

MFRS 121 The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates

## Issues

- a) When does an **embedded foreign currency derivative** in a **host contract** for the sale or purchase of a non-financial item need to be separated?
- b) If separation is required, how is this done?

**Note:** In this Hot Topic, it is assumed throughout that the host sale or purchase contract is outside the scope of MFRS 139. Contracts that can be settled net (rather than by physical delivery and gross settlement) are within the scope of MFRS 139 unless they are for the entity's expected sale, purchase or usage requirements (MFRS 139.5).

---

# Guidance

## **(a) When does an embedded foreign currency derivative in a host contract for the sale or purchase of a non-financial item need to be separated?**

Any contract for the sale or purchase of a non-financial item that is denominated in a foreign currency (i.e. a currency other than the functional currency of the reporting entity) is likely to contain an embedded derivative. The embedded derivative must be separated when its economic characteristics and risks are not closely related to those of the host contract (MFRS 139.11). The **embedded derivative** is **closely related** if, and only if, the contract is denominated in:

- the functional currency of any substantial party to that contract (MFRS 139.AG33(d)(i)) - normally the buyer or seller or
- the currency in which the related non-financial item is routinely denominated around the world (MFRS 139.AG33(d)(ii)) or
- a currency that is commonly used in contracts to purchase or sell non-financial items in the economic environment in which the transaction takes place (MFRS 139.AG33(d)(iii)).

In the first case, it is important to note that the functional currency of any substantial party to the contract may not be the currency of that party's country of domicile. The functional currencies of the parties should be determined in accordance with the definition and guidance in MFRS 121.9-13. This may require judgement, and will require a specific determination when one or more of the parties does not apply MFRS.



---

### Currency of denomination of the non-financial item (MFRS 139.AG33(d)(ii))

The MFRS 139.AG33(d)(ii) exception applies only to products and services in which a large majority of trading throughout the world is in the same currency. This is consistent with the guidance in MFRS 139.IG.C9. It does not apply to products and services that are:

- commonly bought and sold in multiple currencies throughout the world (eg items traded throughout the world either in US dollars or in euros) or
- predominantly traded in a specific currency in some regions or countries but other currencies are used elsewhere in the world (items traded in US dollars in the Americas and euros in Europe).

In January 2008, the Canadian Emerging Issues Committee (EIC) published guidance on the application of these requirements: EIC 169 Determining Whether a Contract is Routinely Denominated in a Single Currency (EIC 169). Canada has since adopted IFRS for most listed entities. The applicable requirements of Canadian GAAP (still in effect for those Canadian entities not required to adopt IFRS) are consistent with MFRS 139 in this area. Accordingly, we consider that EIC 169 is also useful guidance in the context of MFRS. EIC 169 explains that:

“For certain types of commodity transactions, contracts may be based on a dominant currency (such as the US dollar) but may be denominated in local currencies in certain markets for regulatory or other reasons where such local currency transactions are based on the dominant currency price of that commodity translated at the spot rate into local currencies (a “convenience translation” mechanism). For example, although the dominant currency for crude oil transactions ... is the US dollar, some contracts for crude oil may be denominated in Canadian dollars in Canada, where the Canadian dollar price is a convenience translation of the US dollar crude oil price. The Committee noted that a simple convenience translation into local currencies of a commodity that is routinely denominated in a dominant currency would not negate the view that the commodity is routinely denominated in a single currency in commercial transactions around the world. On the other hand, if a commodity transaction is regularly denominated in various currencies in commercial transactions around the world where such foreign currency prices are not convenience translations of a dominant currency price, that commodity would not be considered to be routinely denominated in a particular currency. For example, if cross-border transactions in natural gas in North America are routinely denominated in US dollars and such transactions are routinely denominated in Euros in Europe, neither the US dollar nor the Euro is a currency in which the goods or services are routinely denominated in commercial transactions around the world.”

The key point in this extract is that a so-called convenience translation into other currencies does not of itself mean that the commodity is not routinely denominated in a single currency. We concur with this view.

In practice, we expect that the MFRS 139.AG 33(d)(ii) principle will be applied mainly to US dollar denominated sales and purchases of:

- crude oil and
- certain commodities, subject to demonstrating that the commodity is mainly traded in US dollars throughout the world.

---

**Currency that is commonly used in contracts to purchase or sell non-financial items in the economic environment (MFRS 139.AG33(d)(iii))**

The MFRS 139.AG33(d)(iii) exception applies to a currency that is commonly used in the economic environment in general. This does not mean that the majority of business transactions must be denominated in that currency. However, a specific currency should not normally be considered commonly used if its usage is limited to a single or small number of industries.

*In our view*, the ‘economic environment’ can be that of the reporting entity or the counter-party. In other words, if an entity based in Country X transacts in US dollars with an entity based in Country Y, and US dollars are commonly used in Country X but not Country Y, the exception applies (to both entities).

In some jurisdictions, the local currency is commonly used in domestic transactions, and another currency is commonly used in international trade (cross-border transactions). The currency commonly used in international trade may be driven primarily by the location of the main trading partners (ie countries to or from which companies in the relevant jurisdiction exports or import goods and services). In assessing whether a specific currency is commonly used, both local and cross-border transactions should be considered. For example, if the US dollar is commonly used in cross-border transactions in a country, it may be considered a commonly used currency for all transactions in that country, including local transactions.

Business operating in hyperinflationary environments may decide to price transactions in a ‘hard’ currency to protect against inflation. Entities operating in small countries with relatively illiquid local currencies also sometimes denominate transactions with entities from other small countries in a more liquid currency. These factors are indicators that a non-local currency might be commonly used.

Application of these indicators might result in more than one non-local currency being considered commonly used in some economic environments. Making this assessment requires obtaining up-to-date information and evidence on business practices in the economic environment(s) in question.

In the absence of suitable evidence that a non-local currency is commonly used, the embedded derivative should not be considered closely related. It must therefore be separated from the host contract.



---

**(b) If separation is required, how is this done?**

Separation of embedded derivatives can be complex. The following guidance applies to a straightforward contract with the following characteristics:

- the sale or purchase of a fixed quantity of a non-financial item
- delivery at a set future date
- price fixed in a foreign currency (the contract currency).

The main steps in separating this combined contract are as follows:

- the host contract is a sale or purchase contract denominated in the **functional currency of the reporting entity**
- the amount of functional currency is determined using the relevant **forward exchange rate** (to the date of delivery) at the date the contract is entered into
- the embedded derivative is a forward currency contract to buy or sell the applicable amount of the contract currency for the functional currency, at the same forward exchange rate. The effect is that the fair value of the embedded derivative is initially zero (as required by MFRS 139.AG28 for 'non-option derivatives')
- subsequent changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative are recorded in profit or loss
- on delivery of the non-financial item, the host contract is fulfilled and the embedded derivative is effectively settled. A foreign currency debtor or creditor is recognised for the contract amount, translated at the closing rate in accordance with MFRS 121.23(a). The closing carrying amount of the embedded derivative is added to the functional currency amount of the host contract to give the initial carrying amount of the debtor or creditor.

These steps are illustrated in the example (see page 7).

Separating the embedded derivative requires a detailed understanding of the contractual terms. Terms such as cancellation provisions, options to defer delivery and an ability to alter the volume of goods and services can all affect the determination.

Delivery of products or services is often delayed or accelerated compared to the original contract date. This should be dealt with by measuring the fair value of the derivative to the estimated delivery date (until delivery takes place).

---

# Discussion

An embedded derivative is a component of a combined (or hybrid) contract that also includes a non-derivative host contract. The embedded derivative requirements of MFRS 139 apply both to financial and non-financial host contracts. The embedded derivative causes some or all of the cash flows of the combined contract to vary in a way similar to a standalone derivative (MFRS 139.10), according to an ‘underlying’ (eg interest rate, commodity price or foreign exchange, or other variable). This variation would not occur in the same contract without the embedded derivative.

Applying the concept of embedded derivatives in practice can be challenging. It is necessary to:

- determine whether or not the contract includes an embedded derivative
- determine whether or not the economic characteristics of the embedded derivative are closely related to those of the host contract
- if they are not closely related, separate the contract. This involves identifying the terms and conditions of the host component and the embedded. This in turn can require judgement, since the terms of the two components are not normally stated expressly.

MFRS 139.AG.27-33 sets out numerous examples of host contracts and embedded derivatives, and provides guidance on whether or not they are closely related. MFRS 139.IG.C1-C11 address various specific implementation issues.

This Hot Topic provides guidance on foreign currency sale and purchase contracts, which are a common type of hybrid contract. Such contracts give rise to a currency exposure. MFRS 139 aims to ensure that this exposure is recognised unless it is closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract.

It is clear from the Basis for Conclusions to IAS 139 (equivalent to MFRS 139) that the IASB believes that, in principle, all embedded currency derivatives should be separated (MFRS 139.BC37). The scenarios in MFRS 139.AG33(d) in which the embedded derivative is closely-related are therefore exceptions to the general requirement for separation. Accordingly, these exceptions should be used only when there is convincing evidence that they apply.

# Example

Entity A (a UK sterling functional currency entity) enters into a US\$1,000,000 purchase contract on 1 January 20X1 with Entity B (a euro functional currency entity), to take delivery of inventory on 30 June 20X1. Assume the embedded derivative does not meet the criteria in MFRS 139.AG33(d) to be considered closely related.

Assume the following exchange rates:

Spot rate on 1 January 20X1: US\$/UK£	= 1.7
Six month forward rate on 1 January 20X1: US\$/UK£	= 1.68
Spot rate on 30 June 20X1: US\$/UK£	= 1.6

## Analysis

The contract should be separated into a UK sterling denominated purchase order with an embedded currency forward to pay dollars and receive sterling. This is on the basis that the embedded derivative creates a dollar exposure for an entity with sterling functional currency. A case can be made for analysing the contract into a euro purchase order with an embedded dollar/euro forward. However, this approach creates an euro exposure for a sterling functional currency entity, which is not implied in the contract. This would also make the accounting more complex.

The contract should be separated using the 6 month dollar/sterling forward exchange rate, as at the date of the contract (US\$/UK£= 1.68). The two components of the contract are therefore:

- a purchase contract for £595,239 (US\$1m/1.68)
- a six month currency forward to sell US\$1m at 1.68.

Subsequently, the host contract is not accounted for until delivery (unless it becomes an onerous contract). The embedded derivative is recorded at fair value through profit or loss (unless designated as a cash flow hedging instrument, if appropriate). This gives rise to a gain or loss on the derivative, and a corresponding derivative asset or liability.

On delivery Entity A records the inventory at the amount of the host contract (£595,239). The embedded derivative is considered to expire. The derivative asset or liability (ie the cumulative gain or loss) is settled by becoming part of the financial liability that arises on delivery. In this case the carrying value of the currency forward at 30 June 20X1 on maturity is  $\pounds(1,000,000/1.6 - 595,239) = \pounds29,761$  (liability/loss).

The accounting entries are as follows (all in £s):

Ledger entry	Debit	Credit
Loss on currency forward (P&L)	29,761	
Currency forward liability		29,761

**To record loss on currency forward to 30 June 20X1**



Ledger entry	Debit	Credit
Inventory	595,239	
Financial liability		595,239

**To record receipt of inventory based on implied terms of host contract**

Ledger entry	Debit	Credit
Currency forward liability (B/S)	29,761	
Financial liability		29,761

**To reclassify currency forward liability as a component of the financial liability.**

The effect is that the financial liability at the date of delivery is £625,000 (= 595,239 + 29,761), equivalent to US\$1,000,000 at the spot rate on 30 June 20X1. Going forward, the financial liability is a US\$ denominated financial instrument. It is retranslated at the dollar spot rate in the normal way, until it is settled.

This example illustrates the accounting when the embedded derivative is required to be separated. If the embedded is **not** separated (ie it is considered to be closely related) the inventory would be recorded at £625,000, the US\$ dollar amount translated at the spot rate at the date of delivery. No derivative loss would be recorded in the income statement.





**Winner**  
Employer of the  
year 2015



**Winner**  
Best Programme  
for Leadership  
Development



#### KUALA LUMPUR

Levels 11,15 & 8  
Sheraton Imperial Court  
Jalan Sultan Ismail  
50250 Kuala Lumpur

T +603 2692 4022  
F +603 2721 5229  
E info@my.gt.com

#### PENANG

51-8-A  
Menara BHL Bank  
Jalan Sultan Ahmad Shah  
10500 Penang

T +604 228 7828  
F +604 227 9828

#### JOHOR BAHRU

Unit 29-08, Level 29  
Menara Landmark  
12 Jalan Ngee Heng  
80000 Johor Bahru, Johor

T +607 223 1848  
F +607 224 9848

#### KUANTAN

A-105A, 1st Floor  
Sri Dagangan, Jalan Tun Ismail  
25000 Kuantan  
Pahang

T +609 515 6124  
F +609 515 6126

#### CAMBODIA

20th Floor, Canadia Tower  
Monivong Boulevard  
Phnom Penh  
Cambodia

T +855 23 966 520  
F +855 23 966 526  
W www.grantthornton.com.kh

© 2017 Grant Thornton International Ltd. All rights reserved. "Grant Thornton" refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. SJ Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

[www.grantthornton.com.my](http://www.grantthornton.com.my)